Point Scoring Rubric for Measuring the Quality of Bibliographic Sources

(Refer to "Further Explanations" for definitions and details.)

	5	4	3		2		1	
*Articles	Subject specific peer- reviewed articles from scholarly journals	Subject specific articles from magazines, newspapers, and trade journals		Subject related articles from magazines, newspapers, and trade journals	Subject generally related from any credible publication		*Opinions/comments from any credible publication [ex: letter to the editor]	
Books	Subject specific book or chapter from a university or scholarly press	Subject specific book or chapter from a popular press or self- published author with authority/credibility		Subject related from scholarly or popular press	Subject generally related from scholarly or popular press		Info from book reviews, abstracts, or excerpted book pages on web [did not read book]	
Dissertations / Theses	Subject specifically related	Subject related		Subject generally related	Quotes/paraphrases from the introduction or conclusion		Quotes/paraphrases from the abstract [did not read the text]	
Government Publications / Reports (Gov & IGO)	Subject specific that is primary or contains primary source references/links	Subject related that is primary or contains primary source references/links		Subject generally related that may or may not contain primary source references/links	Source that mentions gov/IGO reports or stats but contains no primary source references/links		Sources deceptively disguised as gov supported or officially representing gov views	
Media	Subject specific from major news outlet (international & national) or media production company - official production	Subject related from major news outlet or media production company - official production		Subject related from minor news outlet (state & local) or media production company	Subject generally related with authority Hosted (uploaded) video or audio with verifiable content		Subject generally related without authority Hosted (uploaded) video or audio with unverifiable content	
Reference Materials	Subject specific [ex: Encyclopedia of Human Trafficking]	Subject related [ex: Encyclopedia of Political Science]		Subject generally related with authority [ex: World Almanac]	Any material with authority used inappropriately [ex: outside of scope]		Any material without authority	
Speeches / Testimonials / Social Media	Subject expert with supporting materials/links [published, recorded, or social media]	First-person involvement with objective supporting materials/links [published, recorded, or social media]		Official social media or blog post from a gov site, major publication, or credible org	Emotional or opinion content with supporting materials [published, recorded, or social media]		Emotional or opinion content without supporting materials [published, recorded, or social media]	

		5	4	3	2	1
Statistics	S	Primary statistical source – the original creator or publisher of the data- must be authoritative	Source refers to statistics with a full credit or a direct link to the primary source [easy to find]-must be authoritative	Source refers to statistics with a partial credit to the primary source [difficult to find] Source is from a for-profit with authority	Source supports a fund raising effort (purpose of source can be tainted) Source is from a forprofit without authority	Source refers to statistics with no primary source credits [no clues] Source contains outdated statistics [exception for historical value]
Studies / Reports / Presentations		From NGO or major non-profit with up-to-date info; original creator of data From non-partisan Think Tank that does not establish a point of view on the topic; original creator of data	Delivered at an academic or professional conference by author with credentials (often but not always published as a proceeding)	Publication is politically weighted but separates fact and opinion From non-partisan Think Tank that establishes a point of view on the topic	Publication is politically weighted and opinion biased From Think Tank with stated partisan views/funding	From for-profit or private source disguised as an authoritative or objective information distribution point Contains extreme views (beyond stating a platform) [not factually based]
**Websites / Webpages			Subject related and meets all CRAAP Test criteria			Subject related but does not meet all CRAAP Test criteria

Further Explanations:

Subject Specific - all of the source content (100%) is devoted to a single subject that corresponds to the student's chosen research topic

Subject Related - majority (more than 50%) of the source content corresponds to the student's chosen research topic

Subject Generally Related - minority (less than 50%) of the source content corresponds to the student's chosen research topic

Zero points will be given for:

Any source that the instructor or librarian cannot identify or find, including reasons related to careless citation errors.
Unethical use of information. [Examples: incorrect information or information improperly taken from its original contextintentional or unintentional misrepresentation.]
Lazy use of tertiary sources [Examples: about.com, ehow.com, Ezine.com, globalissues.org, and Wikipedia]. Students should know how to apply critical thinking skills in orde
to find primary and secondary sources that can authenticate/verify all information selected for use.

^{*}If an assignment requires an opinion article or if an opinion article is truly appropriate for the research subject, then the article point scale will be adjusted to integrate opinion into the other article categories which emphasize the publication source.

^{**}Web-based information that does not fit within the other category types is evaluated based on the <u>CRAAP Test</u> criteria: **c**redibility, **r**elevance, **a**uthority, **a**ccuracy, and **p**urpose of the information. [Example: reports, articles, statistics, and media accessed from websites are evaluated under those respective categories not under the website category.]